

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

ERIC CHATMAN,

Case No. 2:18-cv-00224-RFB-VCF

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER

CEASARS PALACE CO., *et al.*,

Defendant's.

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 7) of the Honorable Cam Ferenbach, United States Magistrate Judge, entered April 18, 2018.


A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by May 2, 2018. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 7) is
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE and
4 Judgment entered, accordingly.

5 The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon Plaintiff
6

7 DATED this 23rd day of May, 2018.
8

9 
10 RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28